

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,452 03/24/2004		03/24/2004	Takayuki Sanada	1188-0117P	3357	
2292	7590	06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH S PO BOX 7		T KOLASCH & BI	WALKE, AMANDA C			
		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1752	<u></u>	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/13/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/807,452	SANADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Amanda C. Walke	1752	

Defere the Eiling of an Annual Drief			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amanda C. Walke	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beauting appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, -		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	·	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		
		Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752	laver
		Art Unit: 1752	6/9/06

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed amending the claims and argues that the amendment would distinguish the claims over the prior art. The remaining claims were finally rejected over the cited prior art. As stated in the final office action, while the resin of the Nishi et al reference is preferably employed as a top coat in the automobile industry, it is not limited thereto and generically, the resin is a curable/ polymerizable coating that comprises an acrylic resin in combination with an amide containing monomer, a solvent, and a polymerization initiator. The secondary reference is solely relied upon for its teach of a suitable amount of the amide monomer when combined with the acrylic resin, not for its teachings of the specifics of the invention itself, therefore the rejection is maintained.